

Clubfoot

Advances in diagnosis and management

<mark>Kelly Gray</mark> Paul Gibbons

Background

Congenital talipes equinovarus – or clubfoot – is a common paediatric condition of unknown cause.

Objective

This article presents two case studies and outlines the diagnosis, treatment, referral pathways and expected outcomes in patients with clubfoot.

Discussion

A significant change in treatment has occurred over the past decades, both in Australia and internationally, with the focus shifting from surgical to conservative management. Education of general practitioners in this area has the potential to facilitate early referral and help allay parental anxiety.

Keywords

children; orthopaedics

Congenital talipes equinovarus – or clubfoot – is a common paediatric condition occurring in one per 1000 births.¹ The cause is unknown, and up to 75% of cases have no family history of the condition.¹ Clubfoot occurs twice as often in males and is bilateral (*Figure 1*) in up to 50% of cases.^{2–4}

Clubfoot is a deformity characterised by structural equinus (pointing down), adductus (turning in), varus (twisting, such that the heel is pointing in or upward) and cavus (high arch). True structural clubfoot differs from postural clubfoot, as it cannot be passively corrected. In unclear cases, review by a paediatric physiotherapist or orthopaedic surgeon is recommended.

Diagnosis on ultrasound can be made as early as 12 weeks gestation, although it is more commonly detected at 18–23 weeks.^{5,6} False positive diagnoses are documented to range from 0.9% to 17%^{7–9} but can be as high as 40%¹⁰ during the third trimester when postural clubfoot cases are present. No significant relationship has been found between prenatal diagnosis and severity of the affected foot/feet.⁵ Following a prenatal diagnosis, parents may seek information from their general practitioner regarding management and treatment during pregnancy and after birth.

Case study 1

Jenny is 22 weeks pregnant and presents to her GP. Her 20-week ultrasound identified that her baby has left congenital talipes equinovarus. No other abnormalities were identified. Jenny lives in a rural town with limited access to tertiary care and plans to deliver her baby at the local hospital. She has been searching the internet for information and is concerned about what to expect after delivery.

Question 1

What would you tell Jenny about congenital talipes equinovarus and its management?

Question 2

What intervention is required at this time?

Question 3

Where can Jenny source further information?

Answer 1

Prenatal education, reassurance and referral has the potential to ease parental anxiety and facilitate appropriate management for an optimal outcome. It is important to explain to Jenny that clubfoot is a treatable condition with excellent long term



Figure 1. Bilateral clubfoot

outcomes.¹ There is no evidence that clubfoot is associated with any adverse affects on pregnancy, labour or birth outcomes.

The most common treatment for clubfoot is the Ponseti technique.¹¹ This involves 4-6 weeks of long leg (toe-to-groin) plaster casts, changed weekly with gentle manipulation of the foot between casts to gradually correct the deformity. In the authors' experience, most babies are not unduly unsettled while wearing plaster casts and during cast changes. Following casting, most cases of clubfoot (80%) require an Achilles tenotomy (cutting of the Achilles tendon) to correct residual equinus deformity.¹ This can be done under a local anaesthetic by an orthopaedic surgeon, either in the outpatient clinic or operating theatre, and does not require sutures. Following tenotomy, the lower limb is placed back into a long leg cast, which is left in place for 3 weeks. On removal of the final cast, the child is placed into an abduction brace (Figure 2) which is worn 23 hours a day for 3 months and then 14-16 hours, while asleep, until the child's fourth birthday. Compliance with the abduction brace is a major factor in reducing recurrent deformity¹² and avoiding major surgical intervention.¹³ Long term outcomes following the Ponseti technique are excellent¹⁴ (*Figure 3*) compared to previous practice of major surgical intervention.^{15–17}

There is no evidence to suggest this treatment has an adverse effect on gross motor development¹⁸ and the authors' experience is that almost all children are able to participate in activity and sport without any problems. The treatment for clubfoot does not need to start on day one; several studies have suggested no correlation between the age at the start of treatment and outcomes.¹⁹ Most centres in Australia recommend beginning treatment within the first few weeks of life.

Answer 2

Jenny should be referred to a paediatric physiotherapist for prenatal advice about the diagnosis and management of club foot. Importantly, in some areas, particularly in rural areas where services are scarce, local physiotherapists may not be experienced in treating clubfoot. In these cases, the nearest tertiary hospital physiotherapy department can be contacted to provide further prenatal advice and make a plan to locate a suitable physiotherapist.



Figure 2. Abduction brace (boots and bar)

In some cases, management of clubfoot may be shared between tertiary and local centres.

Answer 3

Jenny can be directed to major children's hospital websites for further information. The website www.aussieclubfootkids.org is run by parents of children with clubfoot and may be helpful. This site includes information, parent forums and contact details of orthopaedic surgeons and therapists around Australia who practise the Ponseti technique.

Case study 2

Megan has just given birth to her first child, Harry, via normal vaginal delivery. He was born at full term, with a breech presentation. His birth weight was 3.9 kg. The neonatal period has been uneventful, however the maternal and child health nurse has noted that Harry has bilateral clubfoot, which was not identified on prenatal ultrasound. Megan and her husband, Gary, present with Harry to their GP for further assessment and management.

Question 1

What assessment would you perform to determine whether Harry has postural or structural clubfoot?

Question 2

If Harry has postural clubfoot, what advice would you give to his parents?

Question 3

If Harry has structural clubfoot, what advice would you give to his parents?

Answer 1

In postural clubfoot the foot is structurally



Figure 3. Corrected bilateral clubfoot at 1 year of age

normal and, despite an abnormal resting position, is able to be passively corrected; ie. full passive range of movement of the foot is achieved, despite positional abnormality (*Figure 4*). It is considered to be a packaging defect, which develops during the third trimester of pregnancy. Most postural cases will present with an almost full range of movement at birth. In particular, one should be able to manipulate the foot into at least 10 degrees of ankle dorsiflexion.

Answer 2

No treatment is required for most cases of postural clubfoot. Resolution often occurs within the first few weeks of life. However, severe cases which do not resolve within 1 month may actually represent mild structural clubfoot and referral to a paediatric physiotherapist is recommended.

Answer 3

In cases of structural clubfoot, education as per prenatal counselling should be given. There is no association between structural clubfoot and



Figure 4. Postural clubfoot with normal passive range of motion

breech presentation. Treatment does not need to commence on day one, however immediate referral to a paediatric physiotherapist is required to facilitate coordination of further assessment and treatment plans.

Key points

- Congenital talipes equinovarus is a common paediatric orthopaedic condition.
- The Ponseti technique is the current gold standard treatment for clubfoot. It has led to favourable outcomes compared to previous surgical techniques.
- Prenatal education, reassurance and referral has the potential to ease parental anxiety and facilitate appropriate management for optimal outcomes.

Authors

Kelly Gray BAppSc(Physio) is a physiotherapist, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney, New South Wales. kelly.gray@health.nsw.gov.au

Paul Gibbons MBBS, FRCS(Orth), FRACS, is Head, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney, New South Wales.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

References

- Dobbs MB, Gurnett CA. Update on clubfoot: etiology and treatment. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2009;467:1146–53.
- Wynne-Davies R. Genetic and environmental factors in the etiology of talipes equinovarus. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1972;84:9–13.
- Wynne-Davies R. Family studies and the cause of congenital club foot. Talipes equinovarus, talipes calcaneo-valgus and metatarsus varus. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1964;46:445–63.
- Carroll NC. Clubfoot. In: LT Stahelli, editor. Pediatric orthopaedic secrets. Philadelphia: Hanley and Belfus, 1998; p. 230–4.
- Keret D, Ezra E, Lokiec F, Hayek S, Segev E, Wientroub S. Efficacy of prenatal ultrasonography in confirmed club foot. J Bone Joint Surg 2002;84:1015–9.
- Bar-On E, Mashiach R, Inbar O, Weigl D, Katz K, Meizner I. Prenatal ultrasound diagnosis of club foot. J Bone Joint Surg 2005;87:990–3.
- Offerdal K, Jebens N, Blaas HGK, Eik-Nes SH. Prenatal ultrasound detection of talipes equinovarus in a non-selected population of 49 314 deliveries in Norway. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2007;30:838–44.
- Bakalis S, Sairam S, Homfray T, Harrington K, Nicolaides K, Thilaganathan B. Outcome of antenatally diagnosed talipes equinovarus in an unselected obstetric population. Ultrasound Obstet

Gynecol 2002;20:226-9.

- Canto MJ, Cano S, Palau J, Ojeda F. Prenatal diagnosis of clubfoot in low-risk population: associated anomalies and long-term outcome. Prenat Diagn 2008;28:343–6.
- Treadwell MC, Stanitski CL, King M. Prenatal sonographic diagnosis of clubfoot: implications for patient counseling. J Pediatr Orthop 1999;19:8–10.
- Ponseti IV. Clubfoot: Ponseti management. 2nd edn. Lynn Stabeli, editor. Global-HELP Organization, 2005.
- Morcuende JA, Dolan LA, Dietz FR, Ponseti IV. Radical reduction in the rate of extensive corrective surgery for clubfoot using the Ponseti method. Pediatrics 2004;113:376–80.
- Haft GF, Walker GC, Crawford HA. Early clubfoot recurrence after use of the Ponseti method in a New Zealand population. J Bone Joint Surg 2007;89:487–93.
- 14. Cooper DM, Dietz FR. Treatment of idiopathic clubfoot. J Bone Joint Surg 1995;77:1477–89.
- van Gelder JH, van Ruiten AGP, Visser JD, Maathuis PGM. Long-term results of the posteromedial release in the treatment of idiopathic clubfoot. J Pediatr Orthoped 2010;30:700–4.
- Ippolito E, Farsetti P, Caterini R, Tudisco C. Long-term comparative results in patients with congenital clubfoot treated with two different protocols. J Bone Joint Surg 2003;85:1286–94.
- Graf A, Hassani S, Krzak J, et al. Long-term outcome evaluation in young adults following clubfoot surgical release. J Pediatr Orthoped 2010;30:379–85.
- Chivers A, Gray K, Gibbons P. Gross motor development at one year of age in children with congenital talipes equinovarus treated with the Ponseti method. Third Australasian Ponseti Method Conference, Adelaide, Australia, 2010.
- Jowett CR, Morcuende JA, Ramachandran M. Management of congenital talipes equinovarus using the Ponseti method. J Bone Joint Surg 2011;93:1160–4.

correspondence afp@racgp.org.au