

The 'pet effect'

Health related aspects of companion animal ownership

Background

Numerous studies indicate that companion animal ownership is associated with a range of physical, psychological and social health advantages, yet there is little discussion around the practical ways to integrate companion animals into healthcare and health promotion.

Objective

This article provides a brief summary of the health related aspects of companion animal ownership, and suggests ways in which general practitioners can integrate discussions regarding pet interaction into everyday practice.

Discussion

The subject of companion animals can be a catalyst for engaging patients in discussions about preventive health. General practitioners are in an ideal position to understand the human-pet dynamic, and to encourage patients to interact with their pets to improve their own health and wellbeing. Questions relating to companion animals could be asked during routine social history taking. The knowledge gained from this approach may facilitate more tailored patient management and personalised lifestyle recommendations.

Keywords

general practice; preventive medicine; health promotion; doctor-patient relations

People keep pets for companionship, recreation and protection,¹ rather than for the specific purpose of enhancing their health. However, a considerable body of literature supports the idea that companion animals can improve overall quality of life, including physical, social and psychological health.^{2–5} This phenomenon has been described as the 'pet effect'.⁶

Pet ownership may have implications for the healthcare system and public expenditure, as improved health and wellbeing associated with pet ownership has been found to reduce the use of healthcare services.⁷ To date, there has been little discussion of the role that companion animals can play in primary healthcare and health promotion.

This article provides a brief review of the literature in an attempt to increase awareness

about the role pets may play in healthcare. It also provides practical ways in which to integrate the 'pet effect' into general practice.

Limitations of the research

Although most studies report small to moderate improvements, several studies failed to report any relationship between pet ownership and health.^{13–15} Replication of findings has been a consistent problem, and the literature is supported almost exclusively by correlational-type study designs. Thus, while it can be concluded that pets are good for many people and may contribute to improved quality of life,16 there is a lack of conclusive evidence suggesting that pet owners as a group, are any healthier, happier or live longer than nonpet owners.¹⁷ In addition, many of the studies regarding the influence of pets on human health use small samples from specific population groups, fail to consider or control for confounding variables such as age, gender, socioeconomic status, number of children and pets, do not factor in the time spent with each animal, or simply focus on ownership.¹⁸ In reality, benefits gained are highly dependent on the time and/or quality of the attachment or bond with the pet.19-20 Therefore, any health related benefits are most likely gained by the direct carer of the pet, rather than other members of the household.4

The health benefits

Companion animals offer a range of health related benefits including improvement in overall health and psychological wellbeing, which is important given the increased life expectancy and the prevalence of chronic diseases in the population.^{4,8} These benefits can be classified into three categories:

 physical health, by facilitating improvements in cardiovascular health and physical fitness (particularly for dog owners who exercise their dogs), and the human immune system

- psychological health, including the ability of pets to assist practitioners during therapeutic work ('animal assisted therapy'), playing an important role in child development and providing psychological health benefits
- social health, due to the role pets can play as social enablers.

Examples of the health related benefits of pet ownership are shown in *Table 1*.

The adverse effects

Ways in which pet ownership and interaction can have a direct negative impact on human health

include the potential for the spread of zoonotic diseases and allergies, mammalian bites and the avoidance of medical care. For example: a typical scenario facing older pet owners who are no longer competent to live independently in the community, is the trauma of losing their home and their pet because many aged care facilities prohibit pets 'for health reasons'.⁹ High levels of grief may also be experienced in the event of a pet's death. Other aspects include cost, time, and behavioural problems that may lead to further stress, anxiety and loneliness. Although these factors must be considered, many can be reduced or prevented if properly managed (*Table 2*).

Intergrating the pet effect into general practice

Companion animals can be helpful in practical ways for patients with weight problems, high blood pressure, susceptibility to stress and anxiety, loneliness, depression and difficulty socialising. The psychological benefits are greatest among vulnerable populations such as children, the elderly, and the socially isolated, chronically ill or handicapped, but these benefits can be highly variable.^{21,22} Recommendations to patients, which may be as simple as increasing the time spent with their pet (eg. walking the dog), have the potential to become integrated into self management plans

Table 1. Health related benefits of companion animal ownership			
Health benefit	Benefits to owners	Patients most affected	
Physical health	 Cardiovascular health³⁴⁻³⁷ Pets can help reduce stress and lower blood pressure (eg. patting a dog or watching fish swim peacefully in an aquarium) Pet owners show improved recovery rates from heart surgery 	Adults and the elderly, in particular those who are stressed, and/or have cardiovascular disease	
	 Physical fitness (primarily for dog owners)³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Dogs are great exercise partners and provide social support Dog owners are more physically active (primarily though walking dog) and have reduced risk of obesity and better physical health 	All ages, particularly those who are physically unfit or overweight	
	 Immune system development^{41,42} Exposure to pets lowers the likelihood of developing allergies 	Children	
Psychological health	 Animal assisted therapy (AAT)^{26,27,28,43,44} AAT with dogs and/or fish can increase morale, eating habits and overall health in Alzheimer patients AAT increased attendance, decreased violent behaviour, and increased language and social skills in children with ADHD In clinical settings, AAT reduces stress in children 	People of all ages with various disorders	
	 Mental health^{21,45,46} Owners are less likely to experience loneliness and depression, as pets provide social support (with similar effects to human-human relationships), and provide a sense of purpose Older people with pets are less stressed by major adverse life events than nonpet owners 	Adults (particularly during times of stress or loss of a loved one), the elderly, socially isolated, chronically ill (physical or mental) or those with a terminal illness, and/or physical impairment	
	 Child development^{47,48} Pets may play a role in the social-emotional development of children, including self esteem, autonomy and empathy for others Children who own pets show increased trust, community feeling, safety, self confidence and self enhancement 	Children and adolescents	
Social health	 Pets as social enablers^{49,50} Pets are a good catalyst for meeting people (eg. neighbours, strangers) Other social opportunities, including animal clubs and societies Pet attachment is positively correlated with family cohesion and adaptability Improves social interaction for the elderly and those with Alzheimer disease when used in visiting programs 	People living alone and/or those having difficulty meeting others	

Table 2. Adverse effects of companion animal ownership			
Health risk	Summary	Minimising the risk	
Zoonotic diseases ^{24,25}	• Potential for transmission of infectious agents from companion animals to humans (eg. dermatophytosis, taxoplasmosis, toxocariasis, echinococcus, giardia)	Relatively low risk which can be reduced by vaccinating animals and employing hygiene practices such as washing hands after touching animals, avoiding pet-derived secretions and avoiding ill animals	
Allergies ²⁴	• Many people have allergies to animals	Some breeds of cats and dogs are less likely to exacerbate allergies	
Mammalian bites ^{25–30}	• Bites are extremely common (85–90% from dogs): most often the dog is known to the victim (family dog or neighbour) and the attack occurs within the family home	 Educating children about how to safely interact with dogs (eg. not inciting aggression) Children should be supervised at all times when around pets that have the potential to bite 	
Avoidance of care ^{35,36}	• High attachment to a pet may result in a reluctance to prioritise health interests if it conflicts with the human-pet relationship	 Check that the patient has friends/family or boarding facilities that can care for pets in their absence Be aware of any pet attachment that may impede help-seeking, and consider this in the patient's care plan 	
Other ^{31–33}	Cost of owning a petTime it takes to care for a pet: training, walkingGrief experienced due to the loss of a pet	 Pets obtained from a shelter (eg. RSPCA) or pet foster programs are de-sexed and vaccinated Discounts on registration are available for dogs that are trained and de-sexed 	

for patients with chronic diseases.²³ Incorporating pets into these plans provides an individualised way for patients to be actively involved in their own healthcare.²⁴

Companion animals can also provide benefits in clinical situations. For example, for children with particular conditions such as autism²⁵ who are usually distressed in clinical settings (eg. physical examinations or hospitalisation), the use of companion animals has been found to decrease procedure-induced stress,^{26,27} as well as help develop rapport between the therapist and child.²⁸ Guidelines for animal assisted interventions in healthcare facilities have been developed by Lefebvre et al.²⁹

The level of attachment to a pet is important to determine, particularly for older and/or socially isolated patients. For example, evidence indicates that high attachment is associated with a reluctance to adhere to medical advice, future avoidance of care¹¹ and a failure to leave inferior housing conditions.¹² Such information is critical for patients who may need prolonged hospital admissions, those who have difficulty coping alone and for patients who need residential care.

Encouraging discussion

Information about smoking, nutrition, alcohol and physical activity is routinely collected when a patient's medical history is taken. The subject of companion animals is rarely discussed. Asking patients in high risk groups (eg. those who are overweight, depressed or lonely), 'do you have any pets at home?', and determining the level of pet attachment,²⁰ can provide a wealth of information that may inform or influence management of that patient. Talking about pets may also facilitate discussion about health and lifestyle and promote rapport.^{30,31}

Health professionals may wish to consider the following when discussing pets with their patients:

- Does the patient have any health concerns that may be improved by positive pet interaction?
- Once pet ownership has been established it is necessary to determine the patient's level of attachment (eg. asking how much time they spend with their pet). It may also be relevant to explore factors that inhibit a positive human-pet dynamic (eg. pet behavioural problems, injury or time constraints)
- Highlight the health benefits that can be gained by pet interaction and suggest ideas for increasing quality interaction. For example, assist patients wanting to increase physical activity by setting small goals ('try taking your dog for a walk around the block once each day'). Patients that would benefit from social interaction could be encouraged to join an animal-related club or society or to use public dog parks and walking trails

 For vulnerable populations it is important to check that pet care arrangements are organised for times when the patient is absent for medical reasons. In some cases, GPs and other health professionals can become advocates on behalf of patients who wish to become pet owners (eg. housing authorities).

Even though 53% of people without a household pet would like to own one in the future,³² there are many reasons why pet ownership is not possible, including lifestyle factors and financial constraints.^{1,33} It would not be appropriate for a health professional to recommend or 'prescribe' the purchase of a pet as this has ethical and legal implications. For instance, it may be beyond the scope of a GP's role to ascertain the patient's history of pet ownership, ability to care for an animal, living conditions and financial situation. In addition, they risk recommending the wrong pet (or breed), leading to potential animal welfare problems such as mistreatment and/ or obligatory relinguishment. In the event that a patient expresses interest in acquiring a pet, they should be referred to a veterinarian for appropriate information and advice on suitability and selection. Alternatively, there are various opportunities available for encouraging animal interactions that could be considered, including spending time with friends' or family pets, offering to pet-sit, or volunteering at local animal shelters.

Key points

- Companion animals can provide mental, physical and social health benefits to their owners.
- Patients most likely to benefit from animal interaction include those that need lifestyle changes (physical or social), and those who are lonely, depressed or socially isolated.
- It is important to become familiar with all issues relating to pet ownership, including those that may interfere with patient health and management.
- It is important to determine patients' level of attachment to their pets, particularly the elderly, as this may influence their help seeking behaviour and/or adherence to medical advice.

Author

Bradley Smith BPsych(Hons), PhD, is Research Associate, Primary Health Care Research & Information Service, Department of General Practice, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia. bradley.smith@flinders.edu.au.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Dr Tracey Cheffins, Assoc Prof John Litt and Dr Petra Bywood for valuable discussion relating to the topic and help with editing the original manuscript.

References

- 1. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Special feature: household pets. Canberra, Australia: 1995.
- Beck AM, Marshall Meyers N. Health enhancement and companion animal ownership. Ann Rev Public Health 1996;17:247–57.
- 3. Edney AT. Companion animals and human health. Vet Rec 1992;130:285–7.
- Serpell J. Beneficial effects of pet ownership on some aspects of human health and behaviour. JRSM 1991;84:717–20.
- Wells DL. The effects of animals on human health and well-being. Journal of Social Issues 2009;65:523–43.
- Allen K. Are pets a healthy pleasure? The influence of pets on blood pressure. Curr Dir Psychol Sci 2003;12:236–9.
- Headey B, Grabka M, Kelley J, Reddy P, Tseng Y. Pet ownership is good for your health and saves public expenditure too: Australian and German longitudinal evidence. Australian Social Monitor 2002;5:93–9.
- Productivity Commission. Economic implications of an ageing Australia. Research Report. Canberra, Australia: 2005.
- Guay DR. Pet-assisted therapy in the nursing home setting: potential for zoonosis. Am J Infect Control 2001;29:178–86.
- Chur-Hansen A. Grief and bereavement issues and the loss of a companion animal: people living with a companion animal, owners of livestock, and animal

support workers. Clinical Psychologist 2010;14:14–21.

- McNicholas J, Gilbey A, Rennie A, Ahmedzai S, Dono JA, Ormerod E. Pet ownership and human health: a brief review of evidence and issues. BMJ 2005;331:1252–4.
- 12. Morley C, Fook J. The importance of pet loss and some implications for services. Mortality 2005;10:127–43.
- Jorm AF, Jacomb PA, Christensen H, Henderson S, Korten AE, Rodgers B. Impact of pet ownership on elderly Australians' use of medical services: an analysis using Medicare data. Med J Aust 1997;166:376–7.
- Koivusilta LK, Ojanlatva A. To have or not to have a pet for better health? PLoS ONE 2006;1:1.
- Parslow RA, Jorm AF, Christensen H, Rodgers B, Jacomb P. Pet ownership and health in older adults: findings from a survey of 2,551 community-based Australians aged 60–64. Gerontology 2005;51:40–7.
- Podbercek AL, Paul ES, Serpell JA, editors. Companion animals and us. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- Herzog H. The impact of pets on human health and psychological well-neing: fact, fiction, or hypothesis? Curr Dir Psychol Sci 2011;20:236–9.
- Crawford E, Worsham N, Swinehart E. Benefits derived from companion animals, and the use of the term "attachment". Anthrozoos 2006;19:98–112.
- Poresky RH, Hendrix C. Differential effects of pet presence and pet-bonding on young children. Psychol Rep 1990;67:51–4.
- Stallones L, Marx MB, Garrity TF, Johnson TP. Pet ownership and attachment in relation to the health of U. S. adults, 21 to 64 years of age. Anthrozoös 1990;4:100–12.
- Duvall Antonacopoulos NM, Pychyl TA. An examination of the potential role of pet ownership, human social support and pet attachment in the psychological health of individuals living alone. Anthrozoos 2010;23:37–54.
- Siegel JM, Angulo FJ, Detels R, Wesch J, Mullen A. AIDS diagnosis and depression in the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study: the ameliorating impact of pet ownership. AIDS Care – Psychological and Socio-Medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV 1999;11:157–70.
- Bodenheimer T, Lorig K, Holman H, Grumbach K. Patient self-management of chronic disease in primary care. J Am Med Assoc 2002;288:2469–75.
- Bayliss EA, Bosworth HB, Noel PH, Wolff JL, Damush TM, McIver L. Supporting self-management for patients with complex medical needs: recommendations of a working group. Chronic Illness 2007;3:167–75.
- Mallon GP. Utilization of animals as therapeutic adjuncts with children and youth: a review of the literature. Child Youth Care Forum 1992;21:53–67.
- Nagengast SL, Baun MM, Megel M, Leibowitz JM. The effects of the presence of a companion animal on physiological arousal and behavioral distress in children during a physical examination. J Pediatr Nurs 1997;12:323–30.
- Tsai CC, Friedmann E, Thomas SA. The effect of animal–assisted therapy on stress responses in hospitalized children. Anthrozoos 2010;23:245–58.
- Fawcett N, Gullone E. Cute and cuddly and a whole lot more? A call for empirical investigation into the therapeutic benefits of human-animal interaction for children. Behav Change 2001;18:124–33.
- Lefebvre SL, Golab GC, Christensen E, et al. Guidelines for animal-assisted interventions in health care facilities. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:78–85.

- Beck RS, Daughtridge R, Sloane PD. Physician-patient communication in the primary care office: a systematic review. J Am Board Fam Pract 2002;15:25–38.
- Kearley KE, Freeman GK, Heath A. An exploration of the value of the personal doctor-patient relationship in general practice. Br J Gen Pract 2001;51:712–8.
- 32. Australian Companion Animal Council. Australians and their pets: the facts, 2011.
- Chur-Hansen A, Winefield H, Beckwith M. Reasons given by elderly men and women for not owning a pet, and the implications for clinical practice and research. J Health Psychol 2008;13:988–95.
- Anderson WP, Reid CM, Jennings GL. Pet ownership and risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Med J Aust 1992;157:298–301.
- Arhant-Sudhir K, Arhant-Sudhir R, Sudhir K. Pet ownership and cardiovascular risk reduction: supporting evidence, conflicting data and underlying mechanisms. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2011;38:734–8.
- De Schriver MM, Riddick CC. Effects of watching aquariums on elders' stress. Anthrozoös 1990:4:44–8.
- Friedmann E, Thomas SA, Son H. Pets, depression and longterm survival in community living patients following myocardial infarction. Anthrozoos 2011;24:273–85.
- Bauman AE, Russell SJ, Furber SE, Dobson AJ. The epidemiology of dog walking: an unmet need for human and canine health. Med J Aust 2001;175:632–4.
- Cutt H, Giles-Corti B, Knuiman M, Burke V. Dog ownership, health and physical activity: a critical review of the literature. Health and Place 2007;13:261–72.
- 40. Kushner RF. Companion dogs as weight loss partners. Obes Manag 2008;4:232–5.
- Fujimura KE, Johnson CC, Ownby DR, et al. Man's best friend? The effect of pet ownership on house dust microbial communities. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010;126:410–2.
- Gern JE, Reardon CL, Hoffjan S, et al. Effects of dog ownership and genotype on immune development and atopy in infancy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;113:307– 14.
- Kruger KA, Serpell JA. Animal-assisted interventions in mental health: Definitions and theoretical foundations. In: Fine AH, editor. Handbook on animal-assisted therapy: theoretical foundations and guidelines for practice. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, 2006; p. 21–38.
- Sams MJ, Fortney EV, Willenbring S. Occupational therapy incorporating animals for children with autism: a pilot investigation. Am J Occup Ther 2006;60:268–74.
- Raina P, Waltner-Toews D, Bonnett B, Woodward C, Abernathy T. Influence of companion animals on the physical and psychological health of older people: An analysis of a one-year longitudinal study. J Am Geriatr Soc 1999;47:323–9.
- Siegel JM. Stressful life events and use of physician services among the elderly: the moderating role of pet ownership. J Pers Soc Psychol 1990;58:1081–6.
- Poresky RH. Companion animals and other factors affecting young children's development. Anthrozoos 1996;9:159–68.
- Van Houtte BA, Jarvis PA. The role of pets in preadolescent psychosocial development. J Appl Dev Psychol 1995;16:463–79.
- 49. Cox RP. The human/animal bond as a correlate of family functioning. Clin Nurs Res 1993;2:224–31.
- Wood L, Giles-Corti B, Bulsara M. The pet connection: pets as a conduit for social capital? Soc Sci Med 2005;61:1159–73.

correspondence afp@racgp.org.au