After hours care  

A qualitative study of GPs’ perceptions of risk of violence and effect on service provision

PJ Magin, MBBS, MFM (Clin), MGPP, DPD, DipPaed, DipClinEpi, FACPsychMed, FRACGP, is Senior Lecturer, Discipline of General Practice, School of Medical Practice and Population Health, Faculty of Health, University of Newcastle, New South Wales.  
parker.magin@newcastle.edu.au

J Adams, BA, DipStats, MA, PhD, is Senior Lecturer in Health Social Science, Centre for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Medical Practice and Population Health, Faculty of Health, University of Newcastle, New South Wales.

M Ireland, MBBS, BAppSc, FRACGP, is Conjoint Senior Lecturer, Discipline of General Practice, School of Medical Practice and Population Health, Faculty of Health, University of Newcastle, New South Wales.

S Heaney, BHSc (N&D), is a research assistant, Discipline of General Practice, School of Medical Practice and Population Health, Faculty of Health, University of Newcastle, New South Wales.

S Darab, BA, PhD, is Lecturer, School of Social Science, Southern Cross University, New South Wales.

BACKGROUND  
Workplace violence in general practice has been found to be an important problem in the United Kingdom. No research has been undertaken in this area in Australian urban practice.

METHOD  
Four focus groups involved 18 urban general practitioners and over 9 hours of taped responses were transcribed. The transcripts were coded and subjected to thematic analysis.

RESULTS  
General practitioners expressed a wide range of risks relating to the provision of after hours care. This makes them apprehensive about participating in it. Those who had experienced violence, or perceived its risk, had limited their participation in after hours care; sometimes completely.

DISCUSSION  
Structures may be needed to support provision of after hours general practice services.
dangerous than in hours work (Table 1). They attributed this to a number of factors: after hours arrangements that overuse house calls (perceived to be more dangerous), isolation of the GP (from decreased surgery staffing levels after hours), more after hours patients unknown to the GP, and more violent patients in evidence out of hours.

They thought after hours care provided at small practices (and house calls) was a greater risk for violence than at large corporate ones, where the capacity of the clinics to deal with violence was seen to be superior.

There were a variety of strategies to reduce house call after hours risk: documentation of destination, provision for ‘call in’ with a third party (often a spouse), only doing after hours calls to well known patients, avoiding calls in dangerous (i.e. low socioeconomic) areas, refusing calls to suspected drug seeking patients, a companion (especially for women practitioners, often a spouse), and financial disincentive to patients. More common was complete or partial withdrawal from the provision of after hours care, or substitution with GP after hours cooperatives co-located with hospital accident and emergency departments.

**Discussion**

There were limitations of this study; it was small and exploratory. However, participating GPs represented a broad range with a spectrum of ages, years in practice, socioeconomic urban practices, and provided a rich set of coherent themes. These themes showed after hours care is seen as inherently dangerous to personal safety; a factor in decreased after hours service provision in urban general practice.

Unlike the United Kingdom, where the National Health Service’s ‘zero tolerance’ campaign has signalled an institutional recognition of occupational violence for health workers, Australia is without such a coherent and coordinated response. Also, unlike other vocational groups at high risk of occupational violence (e.g. nurses and emergency ward staff), GPs work in relative isolation areas. Recognition of this on the wellbeing of GPs and on their provision of after hours care is important and often neglected in the debate on the effect of after hours cooperative clinics on accident and emergency workload and waiting times.**11**

### Table 1. Subjects’ quotations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GPs’ perception of risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>But... aggression I’ve experienced has been from people after hours who would normally see other doctors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think I’d agree that most of the aggressive situations I’ve experienced of people in the context of, say doing some deputising after hours work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well, certainly doing home visits after hours, at night [is dangerous]...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Those sorts of people tend to come late at night if they want something illicit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GPs’ measures to reduce risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>And even then if it’s in the middle of the night, my husband will come with me. He won’t let me go and he won’t come inside the house but he’ll just say if you’re not out in 5 minutes, I’m coming in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That is to always let somebody know where I’m going, who with, and when I expect to be back... it’s a very important strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I thought that I’d discontinue doing that particular job [after hours work] because it just became, too much of a stress, I guess just thinking about possible scenarios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well, I guess my response to being in a couple of fairly ‘hairy’ situations was just to say well, I’m not going to do this anymore and withdraw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GPs’ selective withdrawal from after hours care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I worked in a housing commission area and there were a lot of very frightening places that I went to visit, even in the day time. I wasn’t going there in the night...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If it is a local person, I occasionally go out after hours or ask them to come to the surgery...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would never go to a house that I didn’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One method that the practice used there to try and reduce after hours calls was by putting the fees up... they just cut their numbers down immensely</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Implications of this study for general practice

**What we already know**

- Occupational violence in general practice is a problem in the UK and in rural Australia.

**What this study adds**

- Urban Australian GPs also perceive violence as a problem to the provision of after hours care.
- Risk of violence restricts after hours care.
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