

Date Participant name

Assessor name

Random case analysis using patient notes

Case based discussion using submitted case

Patient Information

Patient Information: Age Male Female

Case complexity

High Medium Low

Rating and feedback

Not all competencies are rated on every occasion, focus only on the relevant sections for this assessment. Select the option that best represents the participant's performance using the CCA rubric as a guide. You can also use these to provide narrative anchors for what you have observed and add these into the comments as appropriate. The standard is set at the level of Fellowship. Overall clinical competence should be rated as being at the standard expected at the point of Fellowship and would require that the participant performs consistently at that standard across all the domains. Your feedback is important so please provide comments. Be specific and precise with a focus on what is actionable.

Competencies	Significant margin for improvement	Progressing towards the standard expected			At the standard expected	Not rated
		Occasionally performs at this level	Consistently performing at this level	Sometimes performs at the next level but is not yet consistent		
	Significant concerns				Consistently performing at early Fellowship level – the expected standard for this assessment	Not the focus of this assessment/ not observed/ insufficient evidence to assess
Communication						
Information gathering						
Making a diagnosis						
Therapeutic reasoning						
Clinical management						
Managing uncertainty						
Partnering with the patient						

Competencies	Significant margin for improvement	Progressing towards the standard expected			At the standard expected	Not rated
Professionalism						
General practice systems						
Overall competence						

Comments

Areas done well

Areas for improvement

Agreed actions/recommendations for further development. These can be added to the learning plan.

Assessor signature:

Date: