Clinical Guideline

The investigation of patients with breast implants History

Asymptomatic

No personal or family history of breast cancer

Grade 1 (smooth) implants

Symptoms

Personal or family history of breast cancer

Grade 2, 3 or 4 implants

Unknown implant type

Overseas surgery

Cliical Examination: Breast, implant and axilla

Normal

Signs of complication

Imaging: Ultrasound: Breast, implant and axilla

Normal

Intact implants Normal breast parenchyma/axilla Implant folded Intracapsular rupture **Nomal breast** parenchyma/axilla

Anv seroma **Extracapsular rupture** Any suspicious breast/axilla mass

- Reassurance
- Education on signs and symptoms of complications (including BIA-ALCL)
- Offer 12 monthly surveillance including history and clinical examination +/- imaging if suspicious factors

Patients with the following risk factors should be particularly encouraged to have 12 monthly surveillance:

- Textured (Grade 2, 3 or 4) implants or if implant type cannot be determined
- Personal or family history of breast cancer
- Overseas surgery
- Prophylactic removal of breast implants is not currently recommended for asymptomatic patients
- If mammography is due, follow screening guidelines Note: Patients with implants aged 50-74 years are still able to undergo mammography using a displacement technique – BreastScreen NSW has further information
- At any stage, including in the absence of clinical or radiological abnormality, use clinical judgement and consider referral to the original or other surgeon

- Non-urgent referral to surgeon
- Urgent referral to surgeon. concurrent with:
- Any seroma **→** Ultrasoundguided aspiration
- Abnormal breast or axillary mass Ultrasound-

guided biopsy



The purpose of this guide is to support general

This guide has been designed to supplement existing clinical guidelines, such as the Cancer Australia 'The investigation of a new breast symptom - a guide for General Practitioners'. It summarises important information relevant to general practitioners caring for patients with breast implants.

Recommendations outlined in this guide are based on best practice, expert consensus and available evidence at the time of publishing. This guide has been developed in collaboration with representatives of NSW RACGP and clinical specialists in the fields of plastic, reconstructive and breast surgery.

Patient history

A thorough history should be taken to assess the patient's general breast health.

Below are examples of history relevant to assessing for complications associated with breast implants:

- Procedure indication (breast cancer reconstruction/ cosmetic breast augmentation), implant type, size, placement, any patient concerns
- Risk factors breast cancer, lymphoma, autoimmune disease
- Breast history lactation, infection, previous surgery

Complications of breast implants

As with any surgery and medical device, complications can occur following the insertion of a breast implant. For example additional surgeries, breast pain, capsular contracture, rupture, infection, patient dissatisfaction, and breast implant-associated anaplastic large cell lymphoma (BIA-ALCL).

Relative frequency of complications

omplication	Frequency of complication	
apsular contracture	41.0%	Most Commo
evice malposition	27.7%	
evice rupture	20.5%	
eroma/haematoma	3.1%	
eep wound infection	1.3%	•
IA-ALCL	0.4%	Least Commo

Based on complications identified at both reconstructive and aesthetic revision procedures for 5,886 patients recorded in the Australian Breast Devices Registry, 2018

Reference: Hopper I, Parker E, Pelligrini B et al. The Australian Breast Device Registry 2018 Annual Report. Monash University, Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, October 2019

Clinical examination

Refer to the Cancer Australia <u>'The investigation of</u> a new breast symptom – a guide for General <u>Practitioners'</u> for how to conduct a thorough examination.

It is important to examine the breast, implant and axilla for signs of:

- Capsular contracture*
- Deformity rupture, rotation, displacement (double bubble), visibility/rippling, deflation (saline filled implants), folding, lowering/ drooping of breast tissue
- · Breast pain
- Breast lump
- Breast swelling
- Lymphadenopathy
- Nipple changes
- Skin rash

SKIII rasii

Baker classification of capsular contractu		
	Baker Grade 1	Implant is soft and not palpable and/or visible
	Baker Grade 1B	Post-reconstruction only. Implant is soft but visible as the skin envelope is thinner
	Baker Grade 2	Implant is palpable but no visible deformity
	Baker Grade 3	Implant is hard, palpable with some minor visibility (e.g. puckering, rippling, change in shape) Ultrasound usually shows infolding.
	Baker Grade 4	Implant is very hard, painful with significant deformity of breast and/or malposition. Ultrasound shows significant folding and/or rupture

Reference: Spear SL, Baker JL, Jr. Classification of capsular contracture after prosthetic breast reconstruction. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. 1995; 96(5):1119-1123; discussion 1124

BIA-ALCL

Breast implant-associated anaplastic large cell lymphoma (BIA-ALCL) is a rare T cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma that develops around breast implants and typically presents as breast or axillary swelling or pain, and occasionally a mass, on average 7-8 years after original insertion.

If diagnosed early, surgical removal of the implant and capsule is curative.

For more information on BIA-ALCL, go to the <u>Therapeutic Goods Administration Breast</u> Implant Hub.

Breast implant type

Implants can be classified according to:

- Brand/manufacturer
- Contents silicone/saline
- Shape round/anatomic
- Surface (Grading) Grade 1 (smooth) -Grade 4 (textured)

All breast implants have the potential to result in complications. The normal lifespan of a breast implant is 10 - 15 years and the majority of patients require revision surgery at some point.

Breast implant-associated anaplastic large cell lymphoma (BIA-ALCL) is a rare complication of breast implants and has been associated with higher grade (grade 2, 3 and 4) textured implants. As at January 2020, no reported cases of BIA-ALCL have occurred in patients with a history of only smooth (grade 1) implants.

Where possible, determining which type of implant a patient has provides helpful information to guide further investigation and follow-up. It also allows better interpretation of information released by the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) in relation to particular types of products, available on the Breast Implant Hub.

Options to determine the implant type

- 1. Patient implant card
- 2. Contact the original surgeon
- 3. Contact the Australian Breast Device Registry (ABDR) if surgery was from 2015 onwards*: 03 9903 0205 or 1800 998 722 or abdr@monash. edu or at https://www.abdr.org.au/contact-us/
- Contact the Breast Implant Registry (BIR) if surgery was prior to 2015*: Australian Society of Plastic Surgeons (ASPS) at 02 9437 9200 or bir@plasticsurgery.org.au
- 5. Contact hospital medical records department

*Both the ABDR and BIR require patients to consent to their information being held therefore not all patients will have a record.

If the implant type is unable to be determined, patients should be reassured that regular self-examination and 12 monthly surveillance by a health practitioner to assess for any breast changes is the recommended management.

Risk of BIA-ALCL

Compared to other complications, BIA-ALCL is rare.

Implant grade	Example implant type	Estimated risk*
4	Silimed Polyurethane	1 in 2000 - 2500
3	Allergan Biocell	1 in 2500 - 3000
2 - 3	Nagor Textured	1 in 5000 - 6000
2	Mentor Siltex	1 in 15000 - 36000

*Based on sales data and implant exposure of Australian BIA-ALCL

Reference: Loch-Wilkinson A, Beath KJ, Magnusson MR et al. Breast implant-associated anaplastic large cell lymphoma: a longitudinal study of implant and other related risks. Aesthetic Surgery Journal. 2019. Sjz333, https://doi.org.10.1093/asj/sjz333

Disclosures:

One member of the NSW Breast Implant Expert Panel involved in the development of this guideline is an advisor and educator for Mentor (Johnson & Johnson), Allergan, Sientra. and Motiva.

No other individuals declared potential conflicts of interest with respect to the development of this guideline.